UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V. ORDER OF DETERMINION TENDING TRIAL
	Į	LEONEL ENIQUE AGUILERA-PENA Case Number: 07-30519
		Defendant
dete		ecordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the n of the defendant pending trial in this case.
		Part I—Findings of Fact
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a
	(3)	□ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
		There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur
Ш	(2)	the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
V		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
		d that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon- of the evidence that
res He	sides s is u	a presumption case. The Court adopts the recommendations of Pretrial Services. Defendant is a Mexican citizen who in Portland, Oregon. Allegdlly he was arrested with 175 grams of heroin in his possession, \$400,000 cash and a handgun. nemployed with no ties to the district. He is unemployed with no ties to the district. Defendant posses both a flight risk as a to the community. No conditions of bond will assure defendant's appearance or the safety of the community.
	he ex	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate tent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a
		le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance

November 13, 2007

in connection with a court proceeding.

s/ Mona K. Majzoub

Date

Signature of Judge

MONA K. MAJZOUB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).